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God Liberates in Mysterious Ways

Reverend William W. Bennett

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this article is to describe, from the perspective of black liberation theology, what God can be expected to do to liberate the oppressed in Iraq through America’s war against that nation. Such an undertaking might seem outrageous for a few reasons. First, it may seem incredulous, given the genesis of the war, that God would use it as an instrument to free a people who have been systematically oppressed. After all, some may reason that America launched the war to prevent Saddam Hussein from either using weapons of mass destruction against the United States or selling such weapons to terrorists who would then use them to attack the United States. Such a rationale hardly sounds like God at work to spread social justice to subordinated communities in Iraq. Rather, that rationale focuses on the security of the United States.

A second reason one might have difficulty with the purpose of this article is that some might find unbelievable, if not offensive, any suggestion that black liberation theology, with its sharp critique of the United States as a racist, oppressive society, would have anything good to say about that same oppressive society using its superior military might to crush an oil-rich non-European nation. They would remind us that the primary agenda of black liberation theology is always to oppose racism. The voice of black liberation theology is a prophetic voice that proclaims the doom of America and its racist, oppressive ways. To be true to that voice, one must condemn America’s war against Iraq as an undertaking bereft of anything as decent as setting the oppressed free.

These two reactions to the purpose of this article may be understandable, but they are also myopic. The God of black liberation theology is
sovereign and is, therefore, not bound by the holy or unholy ambitions of men. Moreover, the primary focus of black liberation theology is on the oppressed and their struggle alongside God to be free, and not as much on the oppressor’s efforts to enslave. The task of describing how one would expect God to liberate the oppressed in Iraq through America’s war against that nation is well within the ambit of black liberation theology.

Black liberation theology has relevant insights to share about what God can be expected to do through America’s war to liberate two oppressed groups in Iraq: women and Muslim thinkers who take a nontraditional, open approach to understanding the Qur’an. To meaningfully convey those insights, this article has been organized into three main sections. The first section consists of background about the genesis and nature of black liberation theology as well as the historical facts leading up to the Iraq war. From the perspective of black liberation theology, the background section then addresses the following theological questions: (1) whether it is useful to determine that the war is good or evil, and (2) whether the fact that the majority of the oppressed in Iraq follows Islam limits the relevance of black liberation theology. The second section describes the oppressed Iraqis and focuses, as noted above, on two communities in particular: Iraqi women and nontraditional Muslim thinkers. This section contains the core of this article; namely, the relevance that black liberation theology has for these two oppressed communities. The third section of the article outlines a praxis that black churches can pursue to work with God in liberating the oppressed in Iraq.

II. BACKGROUND

To appreciate the theological reflections that are at the core of this article, it is important to understand several matters by way of background. First, it is necessary to understand the origins and basic tenets of black liberation theology. Next, it is essential to be reminded of the significant historical facts that describe how America decided to go to war against Iraq. Finally,
with an understanding of the key facets of black liberation theology and an awareness of the historical events that propelled America into the war against Iraq, two questions naturally submit themselves for consideration: (1) does it make a difference to the black theological reflections proffered in the core of this article whether America’s war against Iraq is good or evil and (2) does the fact that the majority of people in Iraq follow Islam limit the relevance of these reflections? These matters taken together give a context in which to consider the core of the article, which sets forth black liberation theology’s insights about what God can be expected to do to liberate women and progressive Muslim thinkers through America’s war against Iraq.

A. The Genesis of Black Liberation Theology

Black liberation theology finds its roots in the black power movement of the 1960s in the United States. The civil rights movement, led by Dr. Martin Luther King Jr., emphasized Christian love and nonviolence as the way to win social justice for black Americans. As this movement began to pass its zenith, a new, younger generation of black leaders emerged in the struggle against white racism. These leaders, such as Stokely Carmichael of the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee (SNCC) and Floyd McKissick of the Congress of Racial Equality (CORE), did not believe that when the white power structure used violence to thwart the freedom struggle, black people should be nonviolent, but instead they felt that they should be prepared to respond forcefully. The battle cry for them changed from “freedom now” to “black power.” The younger leadership rejected nonviolence based on notions of Christian love as a strategy for social change. Some black preachers and theologians, including Dr. James H. Cone, began to question how Christianity could be relevant to this radical turn in the struggle for social and political equality, and “[i]n an effort to resolve the conflict, they began to reflect on how the gospel can be reconciled with the black power politics of liberation, especially as
articulated by young black radicals who claimed that blacks should take their freedom ‘by any means necessary.’11 The question of the relevance of Christianity to the black power movement gave birth to the first systematic expositions of black liberation theology.12

A fundamental tenet of black liberation theology from the beginning was that God, by nature, is a liberator who has chosen to make the liberation of black people from America’s white supremacy God’s own undertaking.13 While a focus of black liberation theology was the struggle of black people in the United States against white racism, its claim was also much broader. God was seen not only to side with the black oppressed in the United States, but God was seen to side with all oppressed people as they fought against oppressors who would dehumanize them.14 In his seminal work on black liberation theology, Dr. James H. Cone wrote,

Because God has been revealed in the history of oppressed Israel and decisively in the Oppressed One, Jesus Christ, it is impossible to say anything about God without seeing him as being involved in the contemporary liberation of all oppressed peoples. The God in black theology is the God of and for the oppressed, the God who comes into view in their liberation. Any other approach is a denial of biblical revelation.15

The word “all” means all. The “liberation of all oppressed peoples” would include the oppressed in Iraq as well.16 God has not stopped being God, and God has not stopped liberating those who are bruised and shattered by powerful oppressors who systematically seek to subjugate them. To describe how one would expect God to liberate the oppressed in Iraq through America’s war against that nation is an appropriate undertaking for black liberation theology. Black liberation theology recognizes the need for a global perspective on the struggles of other oppressed communities in other parts of the world.17 The next important step is to recount the key historical events that led America to go to war against Iraq.
B. Brief History of the Iraq Crisis

On September 11, 2001, al Qaeda attacked the United States. Al Qaeda-trained terrorists, Mohamed Atta from Egypt and Marwan al Shehhi from the United Arab Emirates, led the attack. They piloted the hijacked American Airlines Flight 11 and United Airlines Flight 175, respectively, into the World Trade Center North and South Towers in New York City. They killed thousands of civilians and rescue personnel.

Within weeks of that day, the United States unleashed its military and intelligence forces in attacks on Afghanistan, whose Taliban government had provided sanctuary for al Qaeda to plan and execute the attack. Before the end of the year, America and other nations in a military coalition destroyed much of the al Qaeda network. They deposed the Taliban government and installed an interim administration headed by Hamid Karzai pending national elections.

America’s war in Iraq differs from the war in Afghanistan. The Taliban government in Afghanistan provided a safe haven for al Qaeda, the organization that clearly planned and executed the terrorist attacks on the United States on September 11, 2001. The pilots who crashed the planes into the World Trade Center had each met al Qaeda’s leader, Usama bin Laden, in Afghanistan and were trained there. By way of contrast, as the 9/11 Commission Report made clear, there was no connection between the al Qaeda terrorists involved in the 9/11 operation against the United States and Iraq.

Another rationale for the war against Iraq emerged from President George W. Bush’s administration. Recognizing that the world had become a much more dangerous place because of the tactic of terrorism and acknowledging that a high priority of government is to protect the people, the Bush administration issued a policy statement in September 2002 to add coherence to the nation’s foreign policy. In a document titled “The National Security Strategy of the United States,” the President announced the policy of preemptive warfare. He declared the following:
The gravest danger our Nation faces lies at the crossroads of radicalism and technology. Our enemies have openly declared that they are seeking weapons of mass destruction, and evidence indicates that they are doing so with determination. The United States will not allow these efforts to succeed. We will build defenses against ballistic missiles and other means of delivery. We will cooperate with other nations to deny, contain, and curtail our enemies’ efforts to acquire dangerous technologies. And, as a matter of common sense and self-defense, America will act against such emerging threats before they are fully formed. We cannot defend America and our friends by hoping for the best. So we must be prepared to defeat our enemies’ plans, using the best intelligence and proceeding with deliberation. History will judge harshly those who saw this coming danger but failed to act. In the new world we have entered, the only path to peace and security is the path of action.29

Addressing the question of weapons of mass destruction with more particularity, the White House went on to indicate that the United States will act preemptively even though the evidence of imminent threat from such easily concealable weapons will differ from that of conventional weapons.30 Six months later, in March 2003, the United States attacked Iraq, the first nation to which this policy was applied.31 The primary rationale for going to war with Iraq was the anticipated presence of weapons of mass destruction and their sale to America’s enemies or direct use by the president of Iraq, Saddam Hussein.32 The United States Senate passed a resolution authorizing the president to use military force against Iraq on October 11, 2002.33 Even though American forces may have begun redeploying from Afghanistan to Iraq as early as February 2002,34 American forces attacked Iraq on March 20, 2003.35 On May 1, 2003, while aboard the USS Abraham Lincoln, President Bush declared that major combat operations in the war with Iraq had ended, and the United States and its allies had prevailed.36 However, his announcement was lacking in one respect.
The reality was that no weapons of mass destruction were discovered in Iraq.37 Prewar information about them proved deficient.38 While the President’s administration lay blame for its prewar misperceptions at the feet of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), whose director, George Tenet, resigned in the summer of 2004,39 some people, notably 2004 presidential candidate Senator John Kerry, claim that the war was, at best, reflective of a colossal misjudgment by President Bush.40 At worst, it was indicative of decisions made in an effort to mislead the American people to war.41 The United States eventually stopped looking for weapons of mass destruction in Iraq.42

Senator Kerry was not the only person concerned about deception and the Iraq war. One well-regarded former member of the Senate Intelligence Committee concluded that President Bush argued that there was a direct link between Iraq and the perpetrators of the September 11 attacks in order to effectively persuade Americans to believe there was a connection.43 He maintains that President Bush, rather than disabusing the nation of any such connection, chose to solidify the public perception that there was such a connection and did so to build support “for a war against an unrelated threat.”44

To conclude, the 9/11 Commission clearly held that there was no connection between Saddam Hussein and the 9/11 attack on the United States.45 The Bush administration’s primary public rationale for the war—Iraq’s putative weapons of mass destruction as a threat to the United States46—collapsed in a cloud of suspicion.47 Further, it was only after the war that the Bush administration gave prominence to the notion that the aim of the war was to develop democracy in Iraq.48

Because the Bush administration’s primary rationale for the attack on Iraq proved fallacious, if not manufactured, and because of that administration’s tardiness in forcefully presenting the democratization rationale for the war, a twofold question naturally arises for theological consideration. First, would God the liberator sponsor such a war? Second,
is it significant for purposes of this article whether God did or did not? The first part of the question raises an issue of theodicy. The second part addresses the issue of God’s sovereignty.

C. The War and the Theodicy Question

The aim of this article bears repeating. Its aim is to describe the relevant commentary black liberation theology has to make about how God can be expected to use America’s war against Iraq to liberate oppressed communities in that nation. The essence of this article is theological. It is about God and not about the effect of human decisions on history. Because theological reflection is the purpose of the article, a question naturally arises: would God the liberator, who is absolutely good and all-powerful, sponsor a war, fallacious in its origination and clouded in deception, to liberate oppressed Iraqis? This is a theodicy question.

Theodicy is theological reflection around the difficult task of explaining how evil can persist in the face of an all-good and all-powerful God. The difficulty can be captured in the classical statement: either God is able to prevent evil but is not willing to do so (in which case God’s goodness can be doubted) or God is willing to prevent evil but unable to do so (in which case God’s all-powerfulness can be doubted). If you assume that America’s war against Iraq is evil—a reasonable assumption given the way the Bush administration devised the war—one question becomes why did God the liberator, who is all-powerful and all good, sponsor the war by allowing it to happen? The other question becomes is God the liberator really all-powerful if God was willing to prevent the war but did not?

Politicians are not the only ones who discern evil in the way the Bush administration devised the war against Iraq. For some, America’s war against Iraq displays a wicked aim to control and to assure the availability of Iraq’s oil resources for the primary benefit of American oil companies. The failure to discover weapons of mass destruction and the historic personal antagonism between President George W. Bush and Saddam
Hussein, who attempted to murder the president’s father, bolster the point that the war’s conception was nefarious.

For many people, it would be impossible to believe that God liberates through America’s war in Iraq since God, being all-powerful and all good, would surely eschew evil. For others, it would seem impossible for God to be all-powerful because God was unable to prevent a wicked war; furthermore, if liberation does happen, it is not because of God. Both of these considerations regarding theodicy center on a preliminary determination of whether evil motives account for America’s war against Iraq.

While some theologians maintain that theodicy is at the heart of any theology, including black liberation theology, the theological reflections offered in this article do not begin at this point of theodicy for two reasons. One reason is that to begin by reflecting on the motivation for the war would lead to a macro focus on the United States as putative oppressor rather than a micro focus on the poor and oppressed in Iraq. Black liberation theology focuses on the oppressed and their struggle to be free. God reveals God’s self among the oppressed in Iraq, not in conference rooms in the White House, the Executive Office Building, or the Pentagon.

The other and more important reason not to begin the theological reflections by focusing on the war and the motives behind it is that God is sovereign. Without humanity’s permission, counsel, or understanding, the God of black liberation theology works with all to accomplish what it is for God to accomplish. As Jesus declared, that accomplishment is “to set at liberty them that are bruised.” The way God accomplishes liberation may be mysterious, but it is God. Those who are among the redeemed of God learn to be comfortable with the tantalizing anguish of the mystery. The appropriate starting point for reflection from the perspective of black liberation theology is with the Iraqi oppressed.

Oddly, the war has created the opportunity to restore order in Iraq. By the summer of 2003, the American military, with unmatched
professionalism and lethality, had destroyed the Iraqi army, disbanded the
Iraqi domestic security apparatus, deposed the governing Baath Party, and
discovered Saddam Hussein—the leader of the Baath Party—in a hole near
Tikrit. America put in place a transitional government for Iraq and set
national elections for January 2005. By its war, America had
disassembled the governing social infrastructure of Iraq and cast the country
into chaos.

The biblical God of whom the authors of Genesis write brings order out
of chaos. God in black liberation theology is still the biblical God who acts
in human history to free the crushed and the shattered. God intervened in
human history and formed the identity of biblical Israel in delivering them
from Egyptian bondage. That same God intervened in human history through
the black power movement in the 1960s in the United States to
elevate the consciousness of African Americans. God elevated their
consciousness toward the realization that black people are fully human and
struggle for victory for social justice over white racism. God, who
intervenes in human history to do justice, certainly is working through
America’s war in Iraq to do justice. The point of interest is to discern how
various communities of oppressed will struggle to participate as the new
infrastructure in Iraq assembles. This article next addresses the
applicability of black liberation theology to the oppressed that follow Islam.

D. Black Liberation Theology and Islam

There is no reason to believe that God, who is the liberator, now has
chosen to limit God’s self to those oppressed who know God through
redemptive Jesus. Dr. Cone got it right when he stated that black liberation
theology holds, as a substantive matter, that God’s nature is to be involved
in the liberation of “all” oppressed people. That would include the
oppressed in Iraq. It is within the ambit of black liberation theology to
describe how one would expect that God, the biblical liberator, would
struggle with the non-Christian oppressed of Iraq to free them from their oppression, even through war.

Black liberation theology centers on Jesus Christ as the oppressed one whose death and resurrection reveal the presence of God in all dimensions of liberation. He was more than just a good man who was concerned about the lives of the poor. Since the overwhelming majority of Iraqis are Muslim, one might question whether black liberation theology can speak to the condition of the human oppressed who are followers of Islam. The fact that the oppressed are non-Christian does not prevent God of black liberation theology from struggling with them against oppression.

The biblical warrant for this proposition rests in the Gospel of Luke. In Luke, the writer makes clear that the purpose of Jesus’s ministry was deliverance and liberation. In chapter 4 of the book of Luke, Jesus declared in his inaugural sermon at a Jewish synagogue in Nazareth that he was anointed and chosen “to set at liberty them that are bruised.” He did not specify the “them” as his fellow Jews. Indeed, in that same inaugural sermon, Jesus described the scope of his ministry with two Old Testament accounts in which God delivered gentiles, not Jews, who were bruised. One was Naaman, the Syrian general who was a leper and was cleansed by dipping into the muddy Jordan River seven times. The other was a widow of Zarephath who was poor but who, on the words of the prophet Elijah, received limitless meal from a limited barrel. Jesus announced that his ministry extended beyond Israel, and that announcement incited the worshippers in the synagogue to attempt to murder him. Jesus escaped and continued his ministry.

The point is that God sent Jesus, who is God manifested in the flesh, to liberate those who did not know him. The Lucan account of that inaugural sermon demonstrates that Jesus’s liberating ministry extended to gentiles as well. It extended to people who were outside the covenant people, Israel. There is further support for the broad scope of Jesus’s liberating ministry in the book of Acts. Acts, also authored by Luke, describes the
evangelistic ministry to the gentiles, to which the Holy Spirit called Paul.79 Paul’s ministry was to introduce the living Jesus to gentiles who previously had not known Jesus.80 Because black liberation theology, which has Jesus the liberator at its center, is for “all” who are oppressed,81 black liberation theology applies to persons in Iraq who are outside the covenant people, Israel. The fact that the oppressed in Iraq are followers of Islam does not limit the relevance of black liberation theology to their liberation.

III. THE IRAQI OPPRESSED

An understanding and identification of the oppressed communities in Iraq requires knowledge about the Iraqi social structure. This article does not purport to describe a detailed social analysis of Iraqi society.82 The author’s limited examination indicates, however, that there are a number of communities of people within the Iraqi Muslim world who are candidates for being the oppressed. God now struggles alongside these people through America’s war for their deliverance. Those communities include (1) the Shia Arabs, (2) the Sunni Arabs, (3) the Kurds, (4) the economically poor in rural and urban Iraq, (5) women, and (6) progressive Muslim thinkers who take a contextual perspective to understanding the Qur’an. The balance of this section will contain a brief description of the first four groups. The focus will then shift to two oppressed groups, in particular, women and progressive Muslim thinkers.83 From the perspective of black liberation theology, this article discusses relevant insights about God’s liberation of these two groups.84

The first three groups, the Shia Arabs, the Sunni Arabs, and the Kurds, comprise major segments of the Iraqi population.85 In the 1980s, the Iraqi government officially declared the Shia Arabs at 55 percent of the population, the Sunni Arabs at 13 percent, and the Kurds at 19 percent.86 In 1987, that total population was about 16.3 million people.87 Some American analysts estimate that the total population of Iraq today is about 25 million.88 In 2000, the country’s population was 23.3 million, and 77
percent of those persons lived in urban areas. The poor represent a sizable segment of people. For example, in 2004 the World Bank estimated that 60 percent of the Iraqi population is dependent on food rations. Further, women comprised about 50 percent of the total population in 1987 while more recent estimates suggest that they make up almost two-thirds of the total population. These four groups, the Shia Arabs, the Sunni Arabs, the Kurds, and women, comprise substantial segments of the Iraqi population.

A. The Shia and the Sunni

There are two major groups of Muslims—the Shia and the Sunni. While the author claims no expertise in Islamic history, it is safe to say that, though there are theological differences between the Shia and the Sunni, a primary difference between them concerns who was to succeed to the leadership of the community of Islam after the death of the Prophet Muhammad. Shiites (the Shia Arabs) hold that the successor should have been Ali, the Prophet’s cousin. The name “Shia” refers to the party of Ali. The Sunni maintain that the successor should have been Abu Bakr, the Prophet Muhammad’s old friend.

Another important difference between the Shia and the Sunni has to do with the qualities of the persons who succeed the Prophet Muhammad. In the Sunni view, such a successor excels in governance and administrative skills to protect the borders of Islam, keep security and peace, and make sound appointments for the judicial system. In the Shia view, such a person, in addition to having these skills, has to have a deep knowledge of the Qur’an, the prophetic teachings, and Islamic law. Finally, such a person has to have succeeded to leadership of the house of Islam by Prophet Muhammad’s selection as inspired by God.

In addition to these religious differences, there are also social differences between the Shia and the Sunni. While Iraq became a sovereign nation and was admitted to the League of Nations after World War I on October 13, 1932, conflicts among a number of social communities made it difficult
for the nation to cohere. These conflicts included disputes among traditional tribes and a growing urban population. Due in part to the legacy of British colonialism in Iraq, which extended from World War I to the 1958 overthrow of the monarchy the British had established in Iraq after that war, most of the key government officials and the officer corps in the Iraqi military were Sunni. The roots of the disparity between the Shia and the Sunni in government may extend back to the Ottoman Empire as well. Yet, in Iraq, the Shia Muslims are the majority of the population. Further, in Iraq, the Shia have been less affluent than the Sunni.

The disparity between the Sunni and the Shia did not change under Baath Party rule. The Baath Party ascended to power in Iraq in 1968. It is a secular political party whose primary goals are Arab unity, freedom, and socialism. It is not a religious party. While Saddam Hussein headed the Baath Party, Hussein, a Sunni Muslim, banned Friday communal prayers by the Shia. Daily prayer is a fundamental ritual of Islam. Hussein also restricted the circulation of books from Shia libraries in mosques.

By deposing the Baath Party, America created the possibility for the Shia to worship as they had not previously been able to do. Further, as Iraq assembles a new governing infrastructure, a point of interest is whether the Shia will now be free to participate in that infrastructure in a fashion commensurate with their numbers. In a democracy, one would expect that the group with the larger number of voters would gain sway in the governance of that society.

While the Sunni have been more politically powerful and economically empowered than the Shia, the Sunni in Iraq have not optimized their well-being. The governing Baath Party was a secular party. It tolerated little political opposition to its policies. It follows that the Sunni as a group did not fare as well under Baath rule as they might have if they had been able to form a vibrant political force. The Sunni continue to be a minority in Iraq, but it will be interesting to see the extent to which the
Sunni will be free to participate in the system of governance that develops from America’s war against Iraq.

B. The Kurds

The Kurds are the largest non-Arab ethnic minority in Iraq and numbered about 3.1 million in 1987. They are overwhelmingly Sunni, and in 1987, comprised about 19 percent of the total population of Iraq. The Iraqi Kurds are located primarily in the northern part of Iraq in the region that borders Turkey and Iran. The Iraqi Kurds are part of a larger Kurdish people. A large number of Kurds, if not the bulk of them, inhabit eastern Turkey. Their language sets them apart from the Arab majority in Iraq. The region around Kirkuk, in northern Iraq where they live, has abundant oil resources. For many years the Kurds have fought against the central government of Iraq to be an independent or autonomous land. In the Iraq-Iran war, from 1980 through 1988, an element of the Kurdish population aligned itself with Iran in insurgent actions against Iraq. The Kurds’ future participation in a reassembled Iraq is also a point of interest.

C. The Poor

Another oppressed group is the economically poor. The poor in Iraq are the masses of the people who do not benefit from Iraq’s tremendous oil resources. They also include those who do not own a significant stake in rural lands. Finally, they are the urban dwellers with low annual incomes and without prospects for the quality of life that full employment would afford. In 1987, about 70 percent of the population lived in urban centers, and in 2000 that figure had grown to 77 percent. Before America’s war against Iraq in 2003, unemployment in Iraq stood at over 50 percent. While a lack of data makes it difficult to accurately assess the economic status of the Iraqi population, an estimated 60 percent of the population is dependent on the food ration system. Annual income per
capita dropped from $3,600 in the early 1980s after Saddam Hussein first came to power to about $770–1,020 two wars later in 2001. Here, it will be interesting to see how the poor in Iraq will be given economic opportunity as the society is reassembled.

To summarize, black liberation theology has relevant commentary to make about the four oppressed communities described above. As stated previously, black liberation theology asserts that God fights with “all” who are oppressed. In the aggregate, the Shia Arabs (a majority without commensurate power), the Sunni Arabs (a minority that did not optimize its political participation under Baath rule and faces a fundamental change in status in a democratic Iraq), the Kurds (a group which did not fully control the oil-rich land it inhabited), and the economically poor (those urban and rural people experiencing high unemployment and declining per capita income) form a collective group of oppressed people that is a focus for liberation theology, including black liberation theology. Dr. James H. Cone has spoken to the broad scope of black liberation theology as follows:

If theology is to be relevant to the human condition which created it, it must relate itself to the questions which arise out of the community responsible for its reason for being. The very existence of black theology is dependent on its ability to relate itself to the human situation unique to oppressed persons generally and blacks particularly.

Having briefly described these four oppressed communities in Iraq, the main focus will be on black liberation theology and its application to two other Iraqi communities: (1) Iraqi women and (2) those influential Iraqi Muslim thinkers who read the Qur’an contextually. This article centers on black liberation theology and Iraqi women because of their proportional size in Iraq. This article also centers on black liberation theology and progressive Muslim thinkers because, as intelligentsia, they may be situated to shape a liberation consciousness among the Iraqi people. And that is where, among other places, God the liberator can be expected to be at work.
D. Women as the Iraqi Oppressed

This section first illustrates how women are oppressed in Iraq by focusing on their participation in the educational system compared with that of men. The disparity between men and women in the educational system is symptomatic of the oppression of women in general. Based on insights from black liberation theology, this section examines four areas in which God can be expected to struggle alongside Iraqi women for their liberation. These four areas are (1) change in consciousness, (2) leadership development, (3) coalition formation, and (4) Qur’an interpretation. Of these four, the paramount focus will be on Qur’an interpretation as illustrated in the work of a Muslim female author, Dr. Asma Barlas.

As a general proposition, women’s issues are the source of some of the most significant challenges facing the Islamic world, and those challenges include the education of women compared with men. The same holds true for women in Iraq. Women make up approximately two-thirds of the Iraqi population. Under the reign of the Baath Party, Iraq’s education system opened up to females, but females did not participate as students in the education system in the same numbers as males. According to the World Bank, in 1980 Iraq achieved near universal primary school enrollment. In 1985, the ratio of girls to boys in primary school was about 72 percent. However, in the last two decades primary and secondary school enrollment and attendance have dropped. Attendance has dropped most dramatically among girls in rural areas. The ratio of literate females to males (between the ages of fifteen and twenty-four) is about 50 percent. These numbers can be understood to mean that over time, females have not reached the same proficiency level of education as males. The disparities between females and males in the education system illustrate the oppression of women in Iraq.
1. Consciousness Change

Two relevant insights from black liberation theology speak to the oppression of Iraqi women. First, God can be expected to struggle with women to free them from their oppression, because God as liberator has chosen to side with the oppressed against oppression. Second, God can be expected, initially, to struggle with Iraqi women to elevate their consciousness so that they see themselves as worthy human beings, destined to live their lives to the full potential God has intended for them. God struggles alongside the oppressed, which means that the oppressed themselves must intend to effect their freedom by participating in the “liberation struggle.” The ability to act intentionally begins with a God-inspired change of consciousness. The black power movement in the United States and black liberation theology, which articulated the theological dimension of that movement, illustrate this point.

Elevation of consciousness among blacks was a signal achievement of both the black power movement in the United States and black liberation theology. During the early days of the civil rights movement, even people of African ancestry in the United States referred to themselves as “Negro.” Through the black power movement and black liberation theology, God transformed the minds of black people in America. Blackness became goodness, and the liberating God of the Bible was discerned to be black. The more the idea of blackness was debated in the United States—and was indeed opposed by the oppressive, white racist power structure—the stronger a liberated consciousness became not only for people whose ancestry was African, but for all who engaged in or witnessed the debate and wanted to be saved. Today, few refer to people of African ancestry in the United States as “Negro”; instead, many say “black” or “African American.” Consciousness changed for all.

Black liberation theology asserts that God can be expected to struggle with Iraqi women to change their consciousness about their condition so that they become intentional in participating with God in their liberation
struggle. God can be expected to create among Iraqi woman a sense of “womanness” that would be comparable to the “blackness” of the black power movement.\(^{159}\) God can be expected to work to elevate the consciousness of Iraqi women through various activities including block club meetings in homes or elsewhere, discussion groups in mosques, radio broadcasts, newspaper editorials, school programs, political debate, ballot referendums, political elections, athletic clubs, seminars among professional associations, and university classes. God also can be expected to elevate the consciousness of Iraqi women through the transitional government in Iraq through the process of drafting a constitution for that nation. Wherever there is debate and discussion about the place women should have in the Iraq of the future, God can be expected to struggle alongside women to use the debate to increase the liberation consciousness of Iraqi women generally.

Through such activities Iraqi women will begin to take charge of defining who they are as God aligns God’s self with them as they wrestle for liberation. They can begin to distance themselves from stereotypes and embrace their own descriptions of what it means to be Iraqi women, as was the case for blacks in the black power movement.\(^{160}\) Iraqi women will experience a personal fellowship with the liberating God that will empower them to cast off old stereotypes. Without the liberating personal knowledge of God in the vertical dimension of life, Iraqi women would not know that what the oppressor says about them in the horizontal dimension of life is a lie. Black liberation theology indicates that God as liberator of the oppressed can be expected to impel Iraqi women to embrace their new consciousness as free women.

2. Leadership Development

According to black liberation theology, a second area in which God can be expected to struggle with Iraqi women for their liberation is through leadership development. God can be expected to reveal God’s self by
elevating women to leadership positions in their struggle alongside God for freedom, just as God elevated black theologians to articulate black liberation theology independently of white theologians.\textsuperscript{161} No one can tell the story of experiencing divine liberation with more persuasion and appeal than the oppressed themselves who struggle with God to be free.\textsuperscript{162} Indeed, if the black liberation theology movement in the United States is any guide, women need to be in the forefront of the struggle in Iraq and cannot cede leadership to others.

An unfortunate aspect of black liberation theology in its earlier years was that it did not focus on the peculiar and particular oppression faced by black women.\textsuperscript{163} While that was due, in part, to the sexist attitude of male liberation theologians, it was also because black women thinkers subordinated their own voices for liberation to those of men.\textsuperscript{164} They also saw gender struggle as an activity engaged in by white women that would divide black women from black men at a time when the humanity of the entire black community was at stake.\textsuperscript{165} For a perceived greater good, the women muted their distinct message in the struggle with God for liberation of the black community.\textsuperscript{166} No one told their story or fought their fight as effectively as they could have told it or fought it.\textsuperscript{167} No one could discern when the struggle around their distinct bondage was being won as clearly as they could. Black women thinkers did not set about in the early years of black liberation theology to reflect theologically about their plight as black women in a society riddled with racism and sexism. Thus, they deprived black liberation theology of a deep well of insight from which inspiration and energy could be drawn to slake the entire community’s thirst for freedom.\textsuperscript{168} Iraqi women should not miss God in this regard.\textsuperscript{169}

The inspirational leadership that Malcolm X offered to the black power movement illustrates the importance of the oppressed community birthing its own leaders. The major contribution of Malcolm X to America was that through his public debate with white intellectuals\textsuperscript{170} about blackness, he helped black people perceive themselves as capable human beings whose
struggle for liberation from the dehumanizing effect of white racism was a virtue. In this regard, Malcolm X was a cultural revolutionary. God used him, a Muslim, to free the minds of black people, Christian and Muslim. Today, there are hundreds if not thousands of black elected officials at various levels of government in the United States. This includes forty-three black members of the House of Representatives in the new 109th Congress. Transformed minds led to social action, and social action led to liberation. From the perspective of black liberation theology, God can be expected to elevate leaders from the ranks of oppressed Iraqi women to tell their own story about the dignity and justice to be accorded them.

3. Coalition Formation

Black liberation theology indicates that a third area in which God can be expected to struggle for liberation of Iraqi women is in the formation of coalitions connecting Iraqi women and other oppressed groups in a broader struggle for liberation. At the same time that God reveals God’s self by developing leaders among Iraqi women to tell their own stories and raising the consciousness of Iraqi women about their own self-worth as human beings, God can likewise be expected to reveal God’s self in efforts by Iraqi women to coalesce with other oppressed communities in Iraq.

Oppression is like a web or network with hubs of evil that is cast over various oppressed communities. Correspondingly, liberation must also be a web or network with hubs of struggle to match the network of oppression. Iraqi women must recognize the networked nature of oppression and be open, as God guides, to bringing vibrancy to the network of liberation against all oppression. Black liberation theology suggests that black people in the United States can learn from the struggles of oppressed peoples on other continents, and, in turn, other oppressed people can learn from the experiences of black Americans. Similarly, Iraqi women can learn from other oppressed groups in Iraq, and, in turn, those groups can learn from Iraqi women. From the perspective of black liberation theology, one would
expect God to reveal God’s self as Iraqi women link their struggle against oppression to the struggles of other oppressed communities in Iraq.

4. Qur’anic Interpretation

Black liberation theology indicates that a fourth area in which God can be expected to reveal God’s self in Iraqi women’s struggle for liberation is in the interpretation of the Qur’an so as to correct its past use to oppress women. God would be part of an effort made by Iraqi women to understand the Qur’an in a different way. An aspect of black liberation theology is a liberated understanding of the Bible. Through the work of scholars, black liberation theology embraces an Afrocentric understanding of the Bible. An Afrocentric reading of the Bible highlights the centrality of Afro-Asiatic people, including Mary, Joseph, and Jesus, in the account of God’s plan of liberation and redemption on earth. Afrocentrism was a corrective answer to Europeans who portrayed the people of the Bible and the persons integral to the Christ story as northern European. From the black liberation theological perspective, one would expect God to be in efforts made by Iraqi women to correct perceptions of women’s place in the world according to the Qur’an. Women will have to confront the question of whether oppressors have read the Qur’an to keep women in bondage rather than to liberate them to be all God intended for them to be.

One female Muslim scholar has faced the challenge of articulating an understanding of the Qur’an that cures its misuse by men to oppress Muslim women. Her work details the nature of the struggle God can be expected to engage in along with Iraqi women to help liberate them from those who would use the Qur’an to oppress them. In her book, Believing Women in Islam: Unreading Patriarchal Interpretations of the Qur’an, Asma Barlas wrote the following:

[If we wish to ensure Muslim women their rights, we not only need to contest readings of the Qur’an that justify the abuse and degradation of women, we also need to establish the legitimacy of]
liberatory readings. Even if such readings do not succeed in effecting a radical change in Muslim societies, it is safe to say that no meaningful change can occur in these societies that does not derive its legitimacy from the Qur’ān’s teachings, a lesson secular Muslims everywhere are having to learn to their own detriment.179

Professor Barlas examines a number of passages in the Qur’an that have been interpreted by some men to subordinate women on the ground that their gender or nature is less than the gender or nature of men. For example, she considers a passage that describes men as protectors and maintainers (not rulers) of their wives.180 She investigates, in the same text, a passage that addresses husbands beating their disobedient wives, and she views it as a symbolic statement.181

By examining the context of these texts, however, Professor Barlas arrives at an understanding that is consistent with the notion that the Qur’an does not differentiate the value of persons based on gender. Rather, a person’s value is based on submission to God as shown in the ethical way an individual conducts his or her life. Further, a pillar of her position—that the Qur’an stands for gender equality—is the passage in the Qur’an that describes how male and female were created from the same self.182 The nature of male and female is the same, and one cannot be inferior to the other.183 From her vantage point, it would follow that oppressors who read the Qur’an to maintain that men are superior and women inferior widely miss the mark.184 Iraqi women who wrestle to open up these texts do so with God the liberator wrestling to open up the texts as well.

Beyond the exegesis of particular texts, Dr. Barlas’s bigger point goes to the importance of a “liberatory reading” of the Qur’an.185 The suggestion is that God as liberator who struggles with the oppressed, including women, struggles to illumine the way in which the oppressor and the oppressed understand the very word of God.186 Iraqi women who struggle for full humanity and equality will be open to illumination from God about the way in which to interpret and understand God’s word. Likewise, such women
can be expected to avail themselves of opportunities to engage in theological reflection with oppressors who would use the word of God to subordinate women.

Two points undergird the expectation that Iraqi women will struggle with God the liberator for a new understanding of God’s word that frees them from the oppressive readings proffered by oppressors. The first is that the nature of humanity is such that humans cannot fully understand the word of God. God is the giver of God’s word. After all, God is sovereign. Just as importantly, God is infinite and humans are finite. God is beyond what humans can even imagine. Struggling to try to understand God in God’s fullness, however, is human. Iraqi women who want to be free can be expected to struggle for a new understanding of the word of God the liberator because such struggles are integral to humans in general and integral to oppressed humans in particular.

The second point is that God assists humans to understand God. God recognizes our human feebleness, and yet God has sculpted humans for communion with God. Having created us for communion, God does not cease to reveal to humans the meaning of God’s word. God continually seeks to communicate with us because of who God is and because God recognizes that human understanding of God must be incremental since humans are finite. Inquiring Iraqi women make themselves and those who are engaged in reflection with them available to God’s incremental revelation of God’s self. Iraqi women can be expected to struggle for a new understanding of the word of God because God wants to and continually does reveal God’s self. In the vertical relationship between Iraqi women who struggle to be free and God who struggles with them, the desire for new understanding initiates from below and above. In the horizontal relationship between Iraqi women and other humans they encounter in the struggle for freedom, Iraqi women pass on the unfolding understanding of God that is birthed from their vertical relationship with God. In this way,
all come to a richer understanding of God the liberator and of God’s spoken word.

Human beings should be open to the possibility that their understanding of God’s word, their reading of God’s word, and their faith speech about God’s word may be wrong. Failure to countenance the possibility that one’s understanding of how to read or speak God’s word may be mistaken, not only displays dangerous arrogance, but it also bespeaks a mindset oblivious to the liberating activity of God. Dr. Gayraud S. Wilmore, one of the earliest modern-day black liberation thinkers, described how one, whether white or black, ought to approach faith speech about God:

All theologies are human ways of thinking and speaking about God out of a particular context. All theologies are qualified by time, geography, culture, and the material conditions of existence. It is not possible to understand the theologies of a people, or to have deep fellowship and bonding with them across lines of demarcation such as race, ethnicity, class, gender, sexual preference, or denominational affiliation, without approaching their thought-life with humility, sensitivity, and an openness to differences from one’s own strenuously held convictions. The time is past when white theologians and ethicists can expect to understand black liberation theology, or to contribute to interracial unity, without knowing the history, studying the texts, and appropriating for themselves the subtlety and complexity of the African American religious experience in the United States.

These truths hold even when we say “Iraqi men,” instead of “white,” and “Iraqi women,” instead of “black.” Iraqi women who follow Islam and who lift their voices to describe cultural, historical, or social factors affecting interpretation and understanding of the Qur’an are simply talking in faith about God from their own particularities. Listening with a sensitive ear and an open heart to what Iraqi women have to say places one in a position to hear the liberating tenor of the Qur’an. For God struggles with the oppressed even as the oppressed speak about God’s word.
To summarize, from the vantage point of black liberation theology, over time God can be expected to work to free women in Iraq from their oppression. As suggested earlier in this article, activities that elevate the consciousness of women whether they be block club meetings in homes or elsewhere, discussion groups in mosques, radio broadcasts, newspaper editorials, school programs, political debate, ballot referendums, political elections, athletic clubs, seminars among professional associations, or university classes will likely abound over time. In addition, God can be expected to elevate women, particularly women who follow Islam and want to be free and who feel burdened to speak out, to play a prominent role in defining the dimensions of their struggle alongside God to become all that God has intended for them to be. Finally, wherever people study and learn from the Qur’an, God can be expected to use women to exert effort to reexamine the way in which Iraqi society understands the value of women as illumined in the Qur’an.

E. Progressive Muslim Thinkers as Iraqi Oppressed

The final oppressed group to be considered is the community of Muslim thinkers in Iraq, male or female, who take an open approach, as opposed to a closed approach, to understanding the Qur’an. This is a difficult community to describe with confidence because current information about the various communities of religious thought in Iraq is not readily available. It could be that an open-approach community does not exist among Muslim thinkers in Iraq, though that does not seem likely.

An open approach is one in which the thinker accepts that there are ways of understanding the meaning of a text that are God inspired in addition to a direct reading of the particular text. The thinker using the open approach is receptive to the possibility that a determination of the meaning of a text can be ever illumined by God through means other than the text itself. Indeed, the open approach avoids the danger of claiming full knowledge of God’s will, which God alone fully knows. Asma Barlas is an example of a
Muslim thinker who uses the open approach. In a recent work, she considered whether a verse from the Qur’an that called upon men to “beat” women licensed husbands to strike their wives to discipline them. Through an examination of the historical context of the verse, she concluded that the verse does not sanction wife beating. She reasoned that at the time the verse was revealed, men in Arabian society did not need permission to beat their wives, so the verse could not have functioned as a license to do so. She also asserts that in today’s society, a time when we claim to be more civilized, the verse provides even less justification for wife beating. Muslim thinkers who use an open approach, considering factors other than the text itself to discern the meaning of the Qur’an, may be an oppressed community in Iraq. A closed approach, on the other hand, would be one that determines the meaning of a text of the Qur’an from its direct reading alone. A closed approach does not accept the possibility that God could have intended that meaning to be discerned in any nontextual way.

Black liberation theology holds that if there are Muslim thinkers who use the open approach to understand the Qur’an, then they may well be oppressed in Iraq. Their approach diverges from the traditional Muslim view of the Qur’an as the verbatim revelation of God’s words. The traditional view suggests that the Qur’an cannot be touched by human influence. Analyzing the Qur’an based on factors such as the human context in which the Qur’an was written does not make sense in the traditional view. To critique the plain text reading of the Qur’an by applying nontextual factors is to touch the Qur’an with human influence and, thus, to deviate from the traditional Muslim view of the Qur’an. However, liberation in black liberation theology necessarily entails understanding God in a new way; a new understanding of God typically departs from the traditional view, because the traditional view is that of the white oppressor. Muslim thinkers who have an open approach to
interpreting the Qur’an depart from the traditional way and thus are likely to be oppressed.

The oppression suffered by black liberation theologians provides an analogy to Muslim thinkers who use the open approach for understanding the Qur’an. Black liberation theologians discerned the biblical God to be black. This meant that God had made the condition of black people in white racist America God’s own condition. As a result, liberation from the oppression of white racism was part of God’s “innermost nature.” In the United States, a society organized around color, this biblical understanding of God was a radical departure from the traditional understanding of God. Black liberation theologians also discerned in the Bible the presence of Africans and Africa—a radical departure from the traditional understanding of the holy scriptures. The traditional perception of white oppressors was that Africa and Africans contributed little to the biblical account of God’s plan of redemption for humanity on earth. Black liberation theology involved a new understanding of God as black; Africans and Africa were central to the biblical story. Yet, black liberation theologians and their views were lightly regarded, if not disregarded, by traditional white theologians and religious thinkers.

Black liberation theologians in the academy have long lamented the struggle for acceptance of black theology as a worthy discipline to be enhanced by other academicians, including white theologians. There was tension surrounding black theology and what it had to say about God and the biblical story. In Iraq, one would anticipate the same kind of tension between the traditional Muslim thinkers and Muslim thinkers who adopt the open approach to understanding the Qur’an.

This tension is not a far-fetched notion. The South African Muslim theologian Farid Esack, who was active in the antiapartheid movement, described this notion in two significant ways in his book Qur’an, Liberation and Pluralism. First, he described how a Muslim theologian, Fazlur Rahman, was forced into exile from his native Pakistan because he believed
that the task of interpreting the Qur’an must also include considering the context in which the revelation was received and the contemporary context in which it is to be lived.\textsuperscript{216} Certainly, there are tensions in the Muslim world between traditional thinkers, who approach the Qur’an as the direct words of God whose meaning cannot be discerned by considering its contexts, and progressive thinkers, who are open to discerning the meaning of the Qur’an by considering its contexts. One would anticipate that these same tensions exist in Iraq.

The second way Esack showed that the tension exists is in his description of the South African struggle against apartheid.\textsuperscript{217} Liberation struggle was a pluralistic one; Christians, Jews, Hindus, followers of indigenous African religions, as well as Muslims joined to eradicate the apartheid system.\textsuperscript{218} The challenge for Muslims was how to unite with persons who were unbelievers when that was seemingly outside the pale, according to the Qur’an.\textsuperscript{219} Muslims differed with one another about joining with the “religious Other”\textsuperscript{220} to fight for liberation against apartheid.\textsuperscript{221} Esack also addressed, from a contextual perspective, a number of Qur’anic texts about persons of different faith to establish that the Qur’an does legitimately countenance solidarity among Muslims and others in a common struggle against injustice and for liberation.\textsuperscript{222} Many Muslims have rejected Esack’s view.\textsuperscript{223}

As progressive Muslim thinkers become more involved in the liberation struggles of other oppressed groups in Iraq, such as women, the oppression against those progressive Muslim thinkers will further manifest due to the tensions noted above. Notwithstanding those tensions, black liberation theology suggests that God can be expected to struggle with progressive Muslim thinkers as they seek for a new understanding of God the liberator as reflected in the Qur’an through an open approach to the text. The open approach is a posture that is humble in recognition that the Qur’an is interpreted by human beings who themselves bring predispositions and cultural determinants to the text.\textsuperscript{224} Black liberation theology would hold
that such openness and humility places one in readiness to receive revelation of meaning from the liberating God.225

God can be expected to struggle with progressive Muslim thinkers to reveal God’s self as liberator in a number of settings. Sharp debate about the approach to the Qur’an will likely occur in those settings in Iraq where Muslims thinkers with different views congregate, such as in Muslim ecumenical circles. In addition, one would expect God’s liberating activity of self-revelation to manifest itself in religious and scholarly journals. Similarly, God can be expected to struggle alongside progressive Muslim thinkers in the religious schools where believing people matriculate, sensing a call on their lives to embrace understanding and interpreting the Qur’an as a discipline. The struggle will likely emerge in the legislative body of restructured Iraq when the question arises about whether to adopt the Shari’a, derived from the Qur’an, as the law of the land as opposed to a secular code of law. God’s struggle alongside progressive Muslim thinkers for the manifestation of God’s self-revelation can be expected to continue outside Iraq before committees of the United Nations or the World Bank, where the various oppressed Iraqi communities, including women and the poor, discuss the mutuality of their oppression. Lastly, in one form or another, God can be expected to wrestle for a liberated understanding of the Qur’an in the informal conversations among Muslims, each of whom struggle earnestly to understand how God wants them to live a just life.

To summarize, black liberation theology maintains that God as liberator joins with the oppressed in their struggle to be free. God identifies with the oppressed regardless of where they live or their understanding of Jesus Christ. Consequently, God identifies with oppressed communities in Iraq, including women, who constitute a majority of the population. God also identifies with progressive Muslim thinkers who may be situated to influence people’s understanding of who God as liberator has revealed God’s self to be at this point in Iraq’s history. The challenge to black men and women in the United States, as a people who suffer oppression in its
diverse dimensions and who struggle now with God the liberator to be free, is whether and how to join God and the Iraqi oppressed in the struggle for freedom. One institution to which black people can turn for action and understanding is the black church. The next section of this article briefly sets forth ways in which the black church can engage in a praxis of liberation with regard to the Iraqi oppressed.

IV. PRAXIS AND THE BLACK CHURCH

Liberation theology involves praxis as well as reflection. Praxis is action to assist the oppressed in moving out of their inhuman situation. One must ask what black congregations can do to join God and the oppressed in Iraq in the struggle for liberation. Generically speaking, the theological nature of the black church lends itself to praxis. Black churches are assemblies of people who have suffered oppression because of white supremacy. White supremacy is an organizing principle of power in the United States. The unmerited suffering among congregants in black churches equips them for the ministry of transforming suffering into victory—the hallmark of the redemptive ministry of Jesus Christ, the suffering servant. This is not to say that God created suffering for blacks in the United States to accomplish redemption, for much of the suffering is simply human beings’ inhumanity toward other human beings. It is to say, rather, that the black church has the gift and the charge to turn what was meant for evil into good. Theologian J. Deotis Roberts, in discussing an ecclesiology of involvement for the black church, explains suffering as follows:

Much of it, too much of it, has resulted from man’s inhumanity to man. It is in no way related to an understanding of God’s purpose in the world or our mission as a people. This is the cross of black experience that we must get rid of. At the same time, we must seek to transmute suffering into victory; we must strive to transcend suffering that we as individuals and as a people may know the liberty of sons of God here as well as hereafter. At the
same time that we seek reconciliation through our roles as “suffering servants,” we are to seek liberation from suffering stemming from being a black in a white man’s world. Our Christian understanding of our peoplehood leads us in the search for meaning, service, and protest.²³⁰

This protest is part of the identity and self-understanding of the black church.²³¹ The black church has been strong with respect to revivalism and the struggle for social justice as well.²³² Notwithstanding the epic suffering black people have endured through the operation of white racism, the strength of their church to affirmatively participate with God to transform society for social justice comes from the liberating presence of Jesus Christ in that suffering. Jesus’s suffering with the black church does not inspire passivity, but it incites a self-understanding, free of the oppressor’s will, that leads to struggle with God for a present and future unbound by oppression.²³³ The nature of the black church, then, reflects its predisposition, not only to simply proclaim the good news of Jesus Christ the redeemer, but also to actively share in the liberation struggle to make the gospel message a social, economic, and political reality.²³⁴

Given this predisposition of liberation struggle to transmute suffering into victory, how can black congregations engage in praxis toward liberation of oppressed communities in Iraq? First, whichever member of the congregation is led by God to be the change agent should approach the church leadership for collective prayer about God’s will for how that congregation can minister in the liberation of the oppressed in Iraq. The Holy Spirit has not imparted to every congregation the same gifts for use in ministry. Different local congregations have different collective gifts. In the Acts of the Apostles, for example, the church at Antioch was gifted by the Holy Spirit to be a mission church.²³⁵ It was in that church that Paul and Barnabas received their call to take the gospel to the gentiles, and from that church they departed on what has come to be known as the first missionary journey.²³⁶ On the other hand, the church at Berea’s collective gift was
study. After the members of the synagogue heard Paul’s preaching, they made it a point to collectively study the scriptures to see whether it was so. Thus, prayerfully discerning the collective ministry gift of the local black congregation and determining whether God wants the church to use that ministry gift in the liberation struggle in Iraq is the first step.

Second, a program of education and consciousness elevation among the parishioners would follow. Parallels between the black liberation struggle in the United States and the liberation struggle for one or more communities of oppressed in Iraq should be examined. Resources external to the church, for example, the World Bank, a local university, the U.S. State Department, or the embassy of Saudi Arabia, could be invited to inform black congregants. In the process, a local black church may give its heart to one of the several communities of oppressed in Iraq; the congregation might fall in love with such a community and see its central ministry as serving that oppressed community for the glory of God.

Third, a program of ministry would be designed, planned, and executed. The kind of ministry could be as imaginative as the inspired human mind could conceive. Some ministry ideas for black churches include the following: (1) sponsoring one of the legal scholar congregants to engage in dialogue with an Iraqi jurist about the place of civil law, the Shari’a, or some other form of law in the reassembled Iraq; (2) partnering with a particular mosque or town in Iraq in some pragmatic undertaking such as constructing housing; and (3) forming an advisory group of black businesswomen in the congregation to assist Iraqi businesswomen in wealth and job creation as the physical infrastructure of Iraq is rebuilt and the country continues to urbanize.

Finally, a program of reflection after ministry should be adopted. A hallmark of liberation theology is to engage in faith speech about God’s liberating activity in the world after engaging in action supporting that
activity.242 Piety and practical action go together.243 A black congregation must engage in action. It must reflect about that action. Then, it must return to action. By following such a course, a black congregation would likely come to appreciate that God does liberate in mysterious ways.

V. CONCLUSION

Regardless of the motivations behind its genesis, America’s war against Iraq has disassembled the Iraqi society and created an opportunity for the society to be reassembled. Black liberation theology has relevant commentary to make about how God will reveal God’s self in the reassembling of that society. Black liberation theology holds that God is the sovereign liberator who sides with the oppressed.244 That includes the oppressed in the abode of Islam. God joins with the Iraqi oppressed and struggles alongside them to free them of suffering. In particular, from the perspective of black liberation theology, one would expect God to fight with two particular oppressed communities in Iraq—women and Muslim thinkers who take an open approach to understanding the Qur’an.

God would elevate the consciousness of women and such Muslim thinkers toward the realization that they can define themselves as fully human people deserving of just and dignified lives. God can be expected to raise their consciousness so that they are free to be what God has intended for them to be. One would expect women to begin to lead the effort to change gender consciousness and to coalesce with other oppressed communities to defeat oppression. In addition, God would inspire in Iraq, through those oppressed groups, a re-exploration of the meaning of the Qur’an. God would inspire it, because those in power in Iraq may have used the Qur’an to oppress the weak. In liberation, God challenges the status quo.

As the Iraqi society begins to reassemble itself, the opportunity for Iraq to transform into a new creature arises. The opportunity also exists for the black church to join in that transformation by sharing its experience of...
liberation with the Iraqi oppressed and hearing the Iraqi oppressed tell their stories of fighting to be free. A black congregation that senses the call has the chance to offer its talent and resources, under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit, for action alongside God and the Iraqi oppressed in their struggle to be all that God has intended for them to be. Then the truth would arise and it would be known that God of black liberation theology, who is sovereign, liberates in mysterious ways.
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LIBERATION THEOLOGY AND THE LAW
This does raise a question of how it is that the God of the Bible would liberate people to worship freely though they may not profess to accept redemptive Jesus Christ as the Son of God. I do not attempt here to confront this question, except to note two points: (1) that the freedom to worship leaves open the possibility of the oppressed worshippers encountering the redemptive Jesus Christ, and (2) that by joining the struggle for the freedom to worship in Iraq those who do name Jesus display in deed the love of the redemptive Jesus Christ. South Africa clearly offers a model of a religiously plural struggle for liberation by the Christian and Muslim oppressed. See FARID ESACK, QUR’AN, LIBERATION & PLURALISM: AN ISLAMIC PERSPECTIVE OF INTERRELIGIOUS SOLIDARITY AGAINST OPPRESSION 37 (1998). One author has engaged this question, but not from the perspective of a Christian liberation theologian asking how God’s liberating activity embraces Muslims. Rather he takes up the question from the perspective of a Muslim thinker who asks how God’s liberating activity embraces Christians. See id. at 146–76. More particularly, the author engages the question of how the Qur’an can be read to allow him to struggle along with the “Other,” meaning Christians and persons of different religious faiths, that they all might be free in an apartheid society where oppression was rooted in white racism. 

Though the Shia constituted a majority of the population, they occupied a relatively insignificant number of government positions. IRAQ: A COUNTRY STUDY, supra note 69, at 63.

In examining the poor in Iraq, it is important to note a number of major economic aspects of the country. In 1968, the Baath Party initiated a socialist economic program. Id. at 123. The state owned and operated many parts of the country’s economic engine. Id. Oil was the major earner of foreign cash reserves for Iraq. Before the start of Iraq’s costly war with Iran in 1980, it is estimated that Iraq had $35 billion in reserves. Id. Due to the decreased exportation of oil attendant to the war, by the time it had ended in 1988, Iraq had foregone at least $65 billion in oil revenues, and its war debt topped $50 billion. Id. at 124. In 1987, the Iraqi government announced an economic reform program and began it the next year. Id. Iraq’s tragic invasion of Kuwait in 1990 in a bid to expand its
oil reserves came practically on the heels of the war against Iran and the economic reform program. America, with a coalition of other nations, expelled Saddam Hussein’s army from Kuwait. Severe economic sanctions followed and continued into America’s war against Iraq. This is the historical context in which to consider the poor in Iraq.
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